(5)

(3) SINGLE BENCH

eFiled

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

**W.P. (S) NO. 531 /2006**

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 1427/06
Presented by Shri Anil Tripathi
dated 21/12/06

Rajendra Prasad Tiwari, son of Shri Nathuram Tiwari, aged about 45 years, working as Head Master – Middle School Sonarpara, Distt. South Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India – through the Secretary, Karmik Lok Shikayat Tatha Pension Mantralaya, Karmik Aur Prashikshan Vibhag, New Delhi.
2. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe Welfare, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. State of Madhya Pradesh, through – Secretary, Deptt. Of Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe Welfare, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)
4. The Assistant Commissioner, Department of Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe Welfare, Distt. South Bastar, (Dantewada) (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
20/12/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(6)

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT / WRITS, ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS IN FORM OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI / PROHIBITION ETC.

37

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

---

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.**

---

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.531 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Rajendra Prasad Tiwari

versus

Respondents : The Union of India and others

---

Present : Mr. Anil Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondents No.2 and 4.

---

**ORDER**

(Passed on 25th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working on the post of Head Master in Middle School, Sonarpara, Block Geedam, District South Bastar (Dantewada) (State of Chhattisgarh). Pursuant to his transfer from the State of Chhattisgarh to the State of Madhya Pradesh, the petitioner has made several representations, as stated in his application dated 8-2-2006 (Annexure P-8). No order has been passed on the representations of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner, in this petition, confines his prayer to a direction to the respondents – concerned authorities to consider and pass an appropriate order on his representations, to which learned

38

counsel appearing for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondents No.2 and 4 has no objection.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of and the respondents – concerned authorities are directed to look into the representations of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law on their own merit within a period of six weeks from the receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal